	Page 1
1	UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
2	SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK
3	Case No. 08-13555-scc
4	x
5	In the Matter of:
6	
7	LEHMAN BROTHERS HOLDINGS INC.,
8	
9	Debtor.
10	x
11	Case No. 08-01420-scc
12	x
13	In the Matter of:
14	
15	LEHMAN BROTHERS INC.,
16	
17	Debtor.
18	x
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

	Page 2
1	United States Bankruptcy Court
2	One Bowling Green
3	New York, NY 10004
4	
5	December 7, 2017
6	9:33 AM
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	BEFORE:
22	HON SHELLEY C. CHAPMAN
23	U.S. BANKRUPTCY JUDGE
24	
25	ECRO: JONATHAN

Page 3 1 HEARING re 08-01420-scc Doc #14442 Notice of Presentment of 2 Order Pursuant to SIPA Section 78fff-2(c) Authorizing the 3 Allocation and Transfer of the Remaining Customer Reserve to 4 the General Estate, Closing of the Customer Estate, and 5 Related Relief 6 7 HEARING re 08-01420-scc Doc #14443 Trustees Motion for an 8 Order Authorizing the Abandonment of Certain Discovery 9 Databases 10 11 HEARING re 08-01420-scc Doc #14444 Twenty-Fourth Application 12 of Hughes Hubbard & Reed LLP for Allowance of Interim 13 Compensation for Services Rendered and Reimbursement of 14 Actual and Necessary Expenses 15 16 HEARING re 08-01420-scc Doc #14445 Joint Notice of 17 Presentment of Tenth Amended Order Pursuant to Section 78eee(b)(5) of SIPA, Sections 105,330 and 331 of the 18 19 Bankruptcy Code, Bankruptcy Rule 2016(a) and Local 20 Bankruptcy Rule 201 6-1 Establishing Procedures Governing 21 Interim Monthly Compensation of Trustee and Hughes Hubbard & 22 Reed LLP 23 HEARING re 08-13555-scc RMBS Claims Estimation Trial 24 25 Transcribed by: Sonya Ledanski Hyde

1	1 9 4 01 00
	Page 4
1	APPEARANCES:
2	
3	HUGHES HUBBARD & REED LLP
4	Attorneys for the Trustee
5	One Battery Park Plaza
6	New York, NY 10004
7	
8	BY: JEFFREY S. MARGOLIN
9	
10	SECURITIES INVESTOR PROTECTION CORPORATION
11	1667 K Street N.W., Suite 1000
12	Washington, D.C. 20006
13	
14	BY: KENNETH J. CAPUTO
15	
16	HOLWELL SHUSTER & GOLDBERG LLP
17	RMBS Trustees
18	750 Seventh Avenue, 26th Floor
19	New York, NY 10019
20	
21	BY: NEIL R. LIEBERMAN
22	DWIGHT A. HEALY
23	DANIEL P. GOLDBERG
24	MICHAEL S. SHUSTER
25	

	Page 5
1	ROLLIN BRASWELL FISHER LLC
2	Attorneys for the Debtor
3	8350 E. Crescent Parkway, Suite 100
4	Greenwood Village, CO 80111
5	
6	BY: MARITZA DOMINGUEZ-BRASWELL
7	MICHAEL ROLLIN
8	
9	WILLKIE FARR & GALLAGHER LLP
10	Attorneys for the Debtor
11	787 Seventh Avenue
12	New York, NY 10019
13	
14	BY: TODD G. COSENZA
15	BENJAMIN P. MCCALLEN
16	JOSEPH DAVIS
17	
18	CHARLES H. GRICE, Witness
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

	Page 7
1	PROCEEDINGS
2	THE COURT: How are you?
3	MR. MARGOLIN: Well, thank you. Should I go to
4	the podium?
5	THE COURT: Well, we're in the middle of a trial.
6	MR. MARGOLIN: So does this work better?
7	THE COURT: Wherever you're most comfortable is
8	fine.
9	MR. MARGOLIN: I can go to the podium, if you
10	THE COURT: Come to the podium. That's fine.
11	That's fine.
12	MR. MARGOLIN: Good morning, Your Honor.
13	THE COURT: Good morning.
14	MR. MARGOLIN: For the record, Jeffrey Margolin,
15	Hughes, Hubbard & Reed, for Mr. Giddens, the SIPA Trustee.
16	Joining me this morning is Mr. Caputo from SIPC.
17	MR. CAPUTO: Good morning, Your Honor.
18	THE COURT: Hello, Mr. Caputo.
19	MR. CAPUTO: How are you?
20	MR. MARGOLIN: We have four uncontested matters on
21	the calendar this morning, Your Honor.
22	THE COURT: Yes.
23	MR. MARGOLIN: But even for Lehman customers,
24	creditors, SIPC, and our team, this is a day of an
25	extraordinary milestone in a case really of extraordinary

Page 8 1 milestones, as we seek Your Honor's approval to the lar --2 what is literally the largest customer estate in the history 3 of insolvency proceedings. But that is a starting point, Your Honor. Before 4 5 I turn to the agenda, if Your Honor would like, I would like 6 to provide a brief update on developments --7 THE COURT: Please. MR. MARGOLIN: -- for the appellate claims 8 9 litigations --10 THE COURT: Yes. 11 MR. MARGOLIN: -- since were last before the Court 12 in September. 13 THE COURT: Right. MR. MARGOLIN: As we previously noted, Your Honor, 14 15 there are no pending claims before Your Honor at the 16 Bankruptcy Court level --17 THE COURT: Right. 18 MR. MARGOLIN: -- customer or general creditor. In each of these appeals, the Trustee is an appellee. So 19 20 there four matters that our team is currently working on in 21 representing the Trustee as appellee. One recently closed; 22 that was the employee bonus claim litigation involving Mr. Hoffman. That, Your Honor's order has become final and not 23 24 appealable, both to Mr. Hoffman and to Mr. Judkins, and that 25 matter is closed.

Page 9 1 Second, Your Honor --2 THE COURT: Can I say, again, that I was right? 3 MR. MARGOLIN: You were right. Second, the 4 Trustee's counsel and Mr. Caputo presented oral argument in 5 the District Court on what we call the ACATS claimants --6 THE COURT: Yes. 7 MR. MARGOLIN: -- appeal on October 20th. 8 THE COURT: Okay. 9 MR. MARGOLIN: And we are now waiting Judge 10 Pauley's decision on that matter. 11 THE COURT: Okay. MR. MARGOLIN: Third, this past Monday, Your 12 13 Honor, the Trustee filed his appellate brief in the District 14 Court in the ESEP appellate litigation. The claimant's 15 reply brief is due, now due on January 12th. There's also 16 not been any word, Your Honor -- we had a letter writing 17 campaign regarding the relatedness. 18 THE COURT: Yes, there were three -- oh, I'm sorry, I thought you were talking about RSU claimants that 19 20 were -- where the claims are spread out among three different judges. 21 22 MR. MARGOLIN: That's ESEP, Your Honor. 23 THE COURT: Okay. 24 MR. MARGOLIN: The ESEP claims that, yes, there 25 were three District Court judges; one which was assigned

	Page 10
1	Your Honor's appeal of the order granting the Trustee's
2	motion for summary judgment. We filed our appellate brief
3	there this past Monday.
4	THE COURT: And that's before?
5	MR. MARGOLIN: That's before Judge Analisa Torres.
6	THE COURT: Okay.
7	MR. MARGOLIN: Then, Your Honor, we there was
8	an appeal of Your Honor's orders which reclassified these
9	claims from secured to unsecured.
10	THE COURT: Right.
11	MR. MARGOLIN: That's been fully briefed before
12	Judge Gardify since February 2016.
13	THE COURT: Okay.
14	MR. MARGOLIN: And then, Your Honor, there was
15	originally the ESEP claimants had moved to withdraw the
16	reference.
17	THE COURT: Right.
18	MR. MARGOLIN: That was assigned to Judge Ramos.
19	THE COURT: Ramos, right.
20	MR. MARGOLIN: And that matter, of course, Judge
21	Ramos denied the withdrawal of the reference.
22	THE COURT: Right.
23	MR. MARGOLIN: And we came back here for the
24	summary judgment arguments.
25	THE COURT: Right.

	1 9 11 01 00
	Page 11
1	MR. MARGOLIN: So we've not heard from the
2	District Court regarding whether these appeals
3	THE COURT: But there's nothing there's nothing
4	there's not an appeal of the denial of the motion to
5	withdraw.
6	MR. MARGOLIN: No, there's not.
7	THE COURT: Right?
8	MR. MARGOLIN: No, there's not.
9	THE COURT: So at least Judge Ramos is kind of out
10	of it.
11	MR. MARGOLIN: Judge yeah, yes.
12	THE COURT: He's not admitting, right?
13	MR. MARGOLIN: He would be like he would be, if
14	you were ranking things like the third.
15	THE COURT: Right.
16	MR. MARGOLIN: And he's really out because that
17	matter is considered closed.
18	THE COURT: Right. So the only live overlapping
19	facts are between the matters between Judge Torres and Judge
20	Gardify, right?
21	MR. MARGOLIN: Yes.
22	THE COURT: Okay.
23	MR. MARGOLIN: And we
24	THE COURT: And that's it, just those.
25	MR. MARGOLIN: And we have one other, Your Honor,

	Py 12 01 60
	Page 12
1	which you mentioned, RSUs
2	THE COURT: Right.
3	MR. MARGOLIN: equity awards. We have oral ar -
4	- the Second Circuit has scheduled oral argument on the
5	Trustee's appeal on the appeal of the Trustees'
6	determinations subordinating these claims for January 10th.
7	THE COURT: And that's the group that's
8	represented by?
9	MR. MARGOLIN: Mr. Schrager.
10	THE COURT: Mr. Schrager. And what date is that
11	at the Second Circuit?
12	MR. MARGOLIN: January 10.
13	THE COURT: And that's it.
14	MR. MARGOLIN: That's it in the claims population.
15	So, of course, Your Honor
16	THE COURT: Is it is there a cost to keeping
17	so after today, not to steal your thunder, but we're going
18	to close the customer estate.
19	MR. MARGOLIN: Yes.
20	THE COURT: Then you're going to have the general
21	creditor estate still open. Is there a cost to keeping the
22	general estate still open?
23	MR. MARGOLIN: Yes, Your Honor. I would say that
24	there are, besides working on these litigations.
25	THE COURT: Right.

Page 13 1 MR. MARGOLIN: The Trustee, as well as working on -- and we were planning to probably come before Your Honor 2 3 at some point in the first quarter about monetizing certain residential assets. 4 5 THE COURT: Okay. 6 MR. MARGOLIN: And then there is a cost in 7 connection, mostly with data management and maintenance; as 8 well as just as the natural things, as Your Honor would 9 know, in keeping a bankruptcy estate opening --10 THE COURT: Right. 11 MR. MARGOLIN: -- open regarding reporting, 12 regarding internal controls, regarding compliance. 13 THE COURT: But you have reserves? 14 MR. MARGOLIN: Yes, Your Honor. 15 THE COURT: You have reserves on account of these 16 claims in the event that there's a reversal on one or more 17 of them. 18 MR. MARGOLIN: That's correct. We are fully reserved on these claims. In fact, on the ESEP claims, 19 20 because Mr. (indiscernible)'s clients checked the secured 21 box, we are fully -- those claims are reserved at 100 22 percent. 23 THE COURT: Dollar-for-dollar. 24 MR. MARGOLIN: Yeah, dollar-for-dollar. So we 25 continue, as Your Honor, these are all -- closing the --

moving the estate towards a final distribution and closing the estate is dependent really on the Appellate Court's schedules and how these claims do that. And the Trustee, with Mr. Caputo, are trying to press these matters up through the Appellate Courts as promptly as efficiently as we can.

THE COURT: I'm sure you are. All right.

MR. MARGOLIN: So turning to the agenda, Your Honor, if I may?

THE COURT: Yes.

MR. MARGOLIN: The first uncontested matter is the presentment of the proposed order where, pursuant to SIPA Section 78FFF-2C authorizing the allocation and transfer of the remaining customer reserve to the general estate, closing of the customer estate, and related relief.

This request is a particularly extraordinary step, given the uncertainty surrounding customer distributions, which occurred at the beginning of the case through up until we did not -- we were not in a position to effectuate customer distributions until the LBIE and LBHI settlements and allocation motion of allocating funds between the customer estate and general estate became final, and we were so able to make 100 percent distributions to customers beginning in June of 2013. Until those settlements were approved given the vast customer claims filed by LBIE and

LBHI based on reserves, it was really uncertain whether there would be 100 percent distributions to public customers.

So, Your Honor, through this order, the Trustee seeks the Court's authority to allocate and transfer approximately \$69 million in remaining excess funds and any additional interest accrued on the funds maintained or reserved by the Trustee in the customer estate to the general estate.

Nearly all of these funds were reserved in connection with the FirstBank's customer claim litigation. It was the last unresolved customer claim asserted against the estate. Your Honor's order disallowing and expunging FirstBank's claim became final on September 20th when it didn't seek assert to the Supreme Court.

The Trustee has made prior requests for reallocation from customer reserves to the general estate in the distribution motions approved by the Court. To date, based on the Court's ruling as to certain customer claims, as well as subsequently confirmed in the Court's distribution orders, the Trustee has reallocated approximately \$2.3 billion from the customer estate to the general estate, and distributed such funds to unallowed general unsecured claims. This constitutes, Your Honor, approximately 10 percentage points of the total 39 percent

distribution to date to the general unsecured creditors.

Through this proposed order, Your Honor, the

Trustee also seeks a decree closing the LBI estate, as

you've mentioned. The Trustee contends that he's satisfied

all of his duties to LBI's customer in the customer estate,

including those pursuant to SIPA, the Bankruptcy Code, and

other applicable law.

The customer estate has been fully administered, and the Trustee submits its in the best interest of all parties and interest to close the customer estate at this time. SIPC has maintained close oversight of the customer estate, from reviewing claims and the Trustee's proposed determinations during the early phase of the litigation, to Mr. Caputo successfully arguing SIPC's position on customer claims such as Repos and FirstBank, all the way to the Second Circuit; and, actually in Repos case, to the Supreme Court.

SIPC supports the closure of the customer estate. Unless Your Honor has any questions, we respectfully request the Court enter the order.

THE COURT: Does Mr. Caputo wish to be heard?

MR. CAPUTO: No, Your Honor, other than to say

that this is an extraordinary remarkable conclusion to a

remarkable year. We're very, very proud of the efforts of

the Trustee. We think he's done a remarkable job in moving

this forward expeditiously, and we fully support the motion.

THE COURT: Well, I'll add some observations to that. It is a remarkable day. I almost can't find the words. As you're aware, I've been in this chair for four years when Judge Peck handed me the baton.

And although the lion's share in connection with the plan had been accomplished under his incredibly able watch, he left with me rather a lot of litigation. And I have watched folks from SIPC and Hughes Hubbard firm and others work through that, through both hearings and trials, and leading to results which were then able to go up for appellate review, and we are where we are.

I've said it before and I'll say it again. I do not think that in September of 2008 anyone would have imagined that this would be the result where 100 percent of customer claims have been paid; and there is, at this point, just about 40 cents on the dollar available for the general unsecured estate.

So not that we should all take this as an indication that we would like this to ever happen again, which we would not. And I would add to that that it appears that through the work of the various regulatory agencies who have authority over our banking system, broker-dealers, derivatives, and everything else that came together to form -- to create the perfect storm that caused the financial

crisis, from my perspective, the banks, the banking system is much stronger. Indeed, there is some evidence that if there were another shock to the system of the order of magnitude of Lehman that various financial -- large financial institutions would be able to withstand that. That notwithstanding, we don't want to ever been in this position again, and I cannot say enough about the efforts of SIPC and the attorneys who have been working behind the scenes and in the forefront all of these years. It's very worth a lot of folks understanding that, and that's -- these results are net of the tremendous cost that's been incurred. And on that point, I would also add, as you folks know I've said before, that oftentimes in large insolvency cases, there is a focus on the amount of the fees, because standing alone, they are breathtakingly large. But it takes a lot of work to resolve claims -- the number of claims and the complexity of the claims that you were faced with. So I will proudly and happily enter the order providing for the closure of the customer estate. MR. MARGOLIN: Thank you, Your Honor. MR. CAPUTO: Thank you, Your Honor. MR. MARGOLIN: May I move on to the next agenda item?

THE COURT: Please.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

MR. MARGOLIN: Second up, Your Honor, is the uncontested motion for authority to abandon and destroy certain data and documents maintained by the LBI estate. As Your Honor noted, with many phases of liquidation complete, this motion is actually the fifth in a series of data abandoning motions --

THE COURT: Yes.

MR. MARGOLIN: -- the Trustee anticipates filing with the Court as his professional determine that certain documents and data are no longer necessary to effectuate the estate's remaining workstreams; and, thereby, ripe for abandonment and destruction in furtherance of the Trustee's goals of reducing administrative expenditures in closing the estate.

Your Honor most recently approved the abandonment motion back in July, and that has been fully effectuated.

The current motion seeks to abandon -- seeks this Court's authority to abandon and destroy certain data and documents, including those maintained at the request of FirstBank or/and related litigation of claimants, such as the employee bonus claimants, documents that were called in connection with Mr. Hoff -- the litigation of Mr. Hoffman.

THE COURT: Right.

MR. MARGOLIN: These litigations have been fully and finally resolved. The abandonment of the estate and

documents will provide additional cost savings to the LBI estate and its creditors.

Your Honor, we serve this motion essentially on the world to make sure that everyone is okay with it.

Anyone who's made a document request, third-party request, to the estate, all pending claimants. Otherwise, as Your Honor could imagine, I get a lot of calls in connection with this, particularly when we do this before Thanksgiving. On the Wednesday before Thanksgiving, I become a popular guy. But all those informal inquiries were resolved.

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. MARGOLIN: And SIPC supports this motion.

And, thereby, unless the Court has any questions with respect to this motion.

THE COURT: So carved out in this are documents that you might need or that the parties might need or ACATS or ESEP or the RSU to come back down from the Appellate Court?

MR. MARGOLIN: These all relate to other litigations. And most of these documents, except for what were called the Repo database which were productions in connection with the Repo litigation, can be replicated through the Trustee's access to legacy Lehman documents at what we call the Iron Mountain Data Archive.

THE COURT: Okay, all right. So no one has

objected, so this motion will be granted.

MR. MARGOLIN: Thank you, Your Honor. Your Honor, next before the Court is the Hughes Hubbard's 24th application and the Trustee's and SIPC's joint request to amend the interim compensation procedures as to Hughes Hubbard. No objections have been filed to these requests. SIPC filed its recommendation in support of the fee application and is a party to the requested amendment to the procedures order.

So the fee application for the period June 1 through September 30th, Hughes Hubbard expended 2927 hours, for which approximately 182 were incurred by the Trustee, for a total fee request of \$2,166,787.35. In addition to the fees, the firm requests of total expenses of \$40,053.74.

As Your Honor knows, the firm provides a 10 percent public interest discount, which is reflected in the application. In addition to that, we voluntarily adjusted our fees by over 51,000, and also reduced expenses by approximately 10,900.

The majority of work during the fee application period was related to the very active claims litigation, most notably the ACATS and the ESEP claims, including oral argument before Your Honor on the ESEP claims during the middle of the period.

The amendments of the procedures order follows the

	Page 22
1	form of the prior amendments approved by the Court. It does
2	leave a sizable holdback to my firm of \$250,000 of, assuming
3	the Court approves these fees, of Court approved fees.
4	Unless the Court has any questions, we
5	respectfully request entry of orders approving both
6	requests.
7	THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Caputo, anything from you?
8	MR. CAPUTO: No, Your Honor, other than to say we
9	support the motion.
10	THE COURT: Okay, very good. So both of those
11	applications will be.
12	MR. MARGOLIN: Thank you very much, Your Honor.
13	THE COURT: All right.
14	MR. MARGOLIN: That concludes the matters before
15	the Court today.
16	THE COURT: All right. Well, keep us posted.
17	MR. MARGOLIN: Great. Thank you very much, Your
18	Honor.
19	THE COURT: All right.
20	MR. MARGOLIN: Happy holidays and happy new year.
21	THE COURT: Thank you again. Happy holidays to
22	you and your team. Thank you.
23	MR. MARGOLIN: Thank you very much.
24	MR. CAPUTO: Thank you, Your Honor.
25	MR. MARGOLIN: We'll submit orders by email to the

Page 23 1 Court. 2 THE COURT: Very good. 3 MR. MARGOLIN: Thank you. 4 THE COURT: Thank you. All right, Lehman other 5 folks, come on up. I'll be back out in five minutes while 6 you get set up. 7 (BREAK) 8 MR. SHUSTER: Good morning, Your Honor. 9 THE COURT: Good morning, Mr. Shuster. 10 MR. SHUSTER: Good morning, Mr. Grice. 11 THE COURT: Good morning, Mr. Grice. 12 MR. GRICE: Good morning. 13 [CROSS-EXAMINATION OF MR. GRICE BY MR. SHUSTER -- CONT] 14 Mr. Grice, we were on Loan 3301, and you should have 15 your Exhibit D, Appendix D. A promising start. So do you 16 want to take a moment to look that over? 17 If I may. Α 18 Please. Thank you. Yes, sir, whenever you're ready. 19 20 0 Thank you, Mr. Grice. So let's start as we do with the 21 extract from your Appendix D. And there we have all of the 22 by now familiar rows and columns and boxes, culminating in 23 your review narrative in which you address the audit VOE and 24 state that you find it unreliable and say that there is 25 nothing in the file that points to a misrepresentation of

Pg 24 of 86 Page 24 1 income. Are you with me? 2 Yes, sir. When you said there is nothing in the file that points 3 to a misrepresentation of income, sir, were you also taking 4 into account the additional information that was cited in 5 6 Mr. Aronoff's rebuttal, including the references to pay 7 stubs and other similar documents, tax returns? I can't give you a specific answer as to what the 8 9 reviewer looked at, so I don't know kind of the background 10 to the formation of that sentence or what material was 11 reviewed. As I look at it now, that last sentence appears 12 to be an error. It seems to be incorrect in its breadth, 13 right? 14 Very good. Thank you, sir. So would you turn to, in 15 the loan binder, to the claim package for 3301? And I'll 16 direct your atten -- which is TRDX-2 incidentally, and I'll 17 direct your attention -- well, let's start off with getting the binder. 18 Yes, sir. I'm at the claim package for 3301. 19 20 Okay. So permit me to direct your attention, Mr. Grice, to Page 1 of 13 in there. 21 22 Yes, sir. 23 That's where we have the -- incidentally, when you 24 talked about the scope of the statement in your narrative

being apparently an error. You're not, I take it, conceding

- 1 that there's a breach on this loan; you're merely commenting
- 2 on that one sentence and its wording and scope.
- 3 A I'm open to review of the facts. I don't have the
- 4 file, so I don't have the --
- 5 Q Okay, fair enough.
- 6 A But, you know, I'm certainly open to a review of the
- 7 facts.
- 8 Q Okay. So I'll direct your attention then to Page 1 of
- 9 the loan application, Mr. Grice.
- 10 A Yes, sir.
- 11 O Just so we can orient ourselves. And we see the
- 12 borrower's name there, and then we see the name and address
- of the borrower's employer.
- 14 A Yes, sir.
- 15 Q And the borrower's occupation, correct?
- 16 A Yes, sir.
- 17 Q And then on the next page, we see the -- an entry for
- 18 the borrower's income, which is 8,900 a month, which comes
- out to, I guess, something in excess of \$100,000 a year;
- 20 8,000 a month, right -- 8,900, right, rounded 9,000 a month
- 21 | would be 108,000 a year, so it's somewhere over \$100,000.
- 22 A I'll take your representation, yes, sir.
- 23 Q Okay. And we see the borrower's signature on the next
- 24 page, Page 3 of 13, indicating that the loan was -- well,
- 25 the loan application at least is signed and dated 9/27/05.

Pg 26 of 86 Page 26 1 Yes, sir. 2 And then if we -- if I could direct your attention, Mr. Grice, to Pages 12 and 13 of the claim package. You see 3 there there's a request for verification of employment and 4 5 earnings, and there is a completed form. There is a form --6 you're not going to -- you're not conceding it's completed, 7 but you're acknowledging there's information on it; is that 8 fair? 9 Absolutely. Yes, sir, I agree with that. 10 All right. So, and on the form, we see the loan 11 applicant's name and the loan number referenced. 12 Yes, sir. 13 And then the question, was the person listed above an 14 employee of the company. The answer yes is circled, 15 correct? 16 Yes, sir. 17 Was the employee currently employed in 2005? 18 appears that the word currently is struck, so that it -- the 19 question is, was the employee employed in 2005, and the 20 answer yes is circled. 21 Α Yes, sir. 22 And then the third question is, what was the employee's 23 gross annual income? And someone appears to have in 24 handwriting added in 2005; do you see that? 25 A question mark. Yes, sir.

Page 27 1 Yes. Now, I take that to be that the person completing 2 the form was completing the question as what was the 3 employee's gross annual income in 2005. Is that the way you read it? 4 5 I'm -- I certainly understand that interpretation. 6 don't -- I can't get inside the mind of Heather V. 7 probably can't contact her either. But the letter 8 requesting this information specifically says 2005, so I 9 don't think the year 2005 is in question. So I just don't 10 know. 11 Okay. So then we have -- and there's an income number 12 reflected there of \$50,318.69, right? 13 Yes, sir. Α 14 And then there's a question as to the employee is still 15 employed, and the answer yes is circled. 16 Α Yes, sir. 17 So, and the form is dated 6/10/2015, correct? 18 Correct. So, then if I could direct your attention, Mr. Grice, 19 20 to page -- sorry, to the loan file excerpt, which is the next tab, and to Page 297 of that. You can see that it's a 21 22 1040, a U.S. individual tax return for 2008, correct? 23 Yes, sir, also unsigned. 24 The paid preparer's use box is filled out; do you see 25 that?

Pg 28 of 86 Page 28 1 I do. 2 Does, so does that indicate to you that there was a paid preparer who filled out this tax form? 3 4 Yes, sir. They provided a PTIN number, which is 5 helpful. 6 And do you know that it's a common practice for paid 7 preparers to e-file tax returns on behalf of taxpayers? 8 I understand that. Yes, sir. 9 And then you see there's an income number on Line 7 for 10 wages and so forth for the year 2008, right? 11 The 70,372 you're referring to? Right, yes. So we're going forward three calendar 12 13 years from 2005 when the loan was taken out. And if the 2005 VOE is accurate, then it looks like the borrower's 14 15 compensation would have increased over the course of three 16 years. And in 2008, the number if 70,000 and change, right? 17 That's correct. Again, we're three years and, like, 18 three months forward from the date of the application being 19 signed. 20 Right. So, and then the borrower's name is there. 21 recognize the name from the loan application? 22 I do. Α And there's an indication of the borrower's occupation 23 in a couple of lines above the paid preparer's signature? 24 25 Yes, sir. I see that.

Page 29 1 And then there's a tax return for '09 at Page 255, Mr. 2 Grice, if I could direct your attention to that. 3 Yes, sir. Α 4 And that, again, shows the borrower's name, and 5 indicates that it was -- the form was prepared by a paid 6 prepared, same paid preparer, and there's, again, a 7 reference to the borrower's occupation, correct? 8 Correct. 9 And then there's an income number, which is higher than 10 the income number in 2005, right? 11 Yes, sir. Α 12 Looks like it's about \$8,000 higher. 13 Yes, sir. Α So then permit me to direct your attention, Mr. Grice, 14 15 to Page 253. And that's a 2010 pay stub, correct? 16 Α Yes, sir. 17 Same employer, same -- you see an indication same, the 18 name of the borrower, correct? 19 Correct. 20 I'm not going to do the math there, but that's for the 21 first -- that's for the first two months and six days of the 22 year, right? 23 Yes, sir. 24 So you could project that out over the course of the year, and you'd get a number in the vicinity of \$80,000 or 25

Page 30 1 something like that. 2 That's correct. 3 And then if we could look at Page 290. That's another 4 pay stub for 2011, same employer, correct? 5 Correct. 6 And that shows income for greater part of the year that 7 runs through the end of October. And it shows an income 8 number of \$70,000, right? 9 Yes, sir. 10 Up to that point in time. 11 That's correct. Α 12 So if you projected that out, that would come out to 13 something like 84,000 for the year. 14 Yeah, it looks like the rate of pay for 80 hours has 15 gone up to 2,925 from 2,789 in 2010. 16 Right. And then there is a hardship letter on the 17 opposite page. Do you see that, Page 261? I do. 18 Α And you see that the hardship letter is directed to 19 20 Aurora Loan Services loss mitigation dated April 4, 2009? Yes, sir. 21 Α 22 Same, you see the borrower's name down there? 23 Α I do. There's no reference in the hardship letter to any drop 24 25 off in income or loss of job or anything or change of job or

08-13555-mg Doc 57502 Filed 12/28/17 Entered 01/18/18 14:36:08 Main Document Pg 31 of 86 Page 31 1 anything like that, correct? 2 No, I agree. He's discussing his -- the hardship he's 3 facing, but that appears to be the increase in costs and a 4 loss of equity in the property. 5 Right. And he refers in the fourth line up from the bottom of the first paragraph to the fact that he has a good 7 income. I see that. Yes, sir. 8 9 And then if you flip back a couple of pages to Page 10 258. 11 Yes, sir. Α There's a hardship letter submitted for the -- forgive 12 me -- a hardship affidavit, correct? 13 14 Α Correct. 15 And that's submitted to Aurora Loan Services, and 16 signed by the borrower on Page 260? 17 Yes, sir. Α And there, the borrower is asked to check certain boxes 18 as to whether there's been a loss of income, for example. 19 20 So the first line, my income has been reduced or lost, and 21 then it goes on to say, for example, unemployment, 22 underemployment, reduced job hours, reduced pay, et cetera. 23 And the box no is checked, right?

And so based on this, you concluded that there was no

I see that. Yes, sir.

24

Page 32 1 breach. Do you stick with that? 2 I don't, having looked at this and thought about it since we reviewed the documents yesterday. We've not 3 pointed out some inconsistent facts. There's evidence that 4 his employer may, in fact, not be simply a hospital; but 5 6 may, in fact, be a service. There's a reference -- I don't 7 want to name the -- it seems to be an employment agency for 8 respiratory therapists perhaps, which is referenced a couple 9 of times in the documents. But setting -- I mean, having 10 reviewed all of this, I think this is a situation where more 11 likely than not, he misrepresented his income at 12 origination. 13 Okay. Thank you, sir. So let us --14 MR. SHUSTER: May I just have a brief moment, Your 15 Honor? 16 THE COURT: Sure. 17 MR. SHUSTER: Thank you. 18 Thank you, Mr. Grice. I'll now direct your attention, Mr. Grice, if I could to Loan 8455. And in connection with 19 20 that loan, the extract from your Appendix D is TRDX-30, 21 which Mr. Lieberman is handing out. 22 THE COURT: I'm sorry. What was the loan number, 23 Mr. Shuster? 24 MR. SHUSTER: The loan number, Your Honor, is 25 8455.

Page 33 1 It's a bit of a heavy lift to read through this. 2 give you a moment. Please let me know. THE COURT: All right. I need a little more help. 3 Where is -- is there anything related to this loan in the 4 5 binder? 6 MR. SHUSTER: It's the first tab. 7 THE COURT: I see, okay. Mr. Shuster, I'm sorry 8 to do this. But is there a difference between this loan 9 file excerpt that was handed to me and what's in the binder? 10 MS. BRASWELL: What the replacement, the original 11 loan file excerpt --12 THE COURT: Yes. 13 MS. BRASWELL: -- had a misprinting. 14 THE COURT: Okay. So take this one out and put 15 the other one in. 16 MS. BRASWELL: Right. 17 THE COURT: Thank you. 18 If you're done reading the narrative, sir, we can walk through the loan file together. I see you're starting to do 19 20 that, and I certainly don't want to stop you, but we will be 21 going through it together. If there's any part of you want 22 to refer to, you're certainly free to. Good. I may need to stop the clock, but let's go ahead 23 24 and proceed. 25 Okay. So just by way of orienting us, this is a

Page 34 1 misrepresentation of debt claim by the Trustees? 2 Yes, sir. And it's predicated on two pieces of evidence -- a MERS 3 4 report and a credit report, as well as, of course, the loan 5 application itself. 6 Bear with me. 7 Yes, this one's a slug. So it's based on a MERS report and an audit credit 8 9 report pulled April 13, 2015. And the assertion is that the loan application fails to 10 11 disclose mortgage debt that are reflected on the MERS 12 reports and the audit credit report, correct? 13 Correct. Α 14 And the Trustees' estimate a mortgage payment for the 15 debt that they say is reflected on the MERS report and the 16 credit report, correct? 17 Correct, and I cite that in my response. 18 Right, so you cite that. You don't contest the estimate you adopted. And then you -- that you adopted at 19 20 least for purposes of your response -- and then you estimate 21 rent on the property and you do some math using the 22 Trustees' estimated mortgage payment on the alleged 23 undisclosed debt, fair? 24 Α That's correct. We calculate the fair market rent, we 25 adjust it for vacancy, and come to this net income

- 1 representation of a positive number that's reflected in the
- 2 third paragraph of my response.
- 3 Q The -- you don't appear to contest the fact that the
- 4 MERS report and the credit report are in the file and that
- 5 they say what the Trustees say they say.
- 6 A I'm not disputing that they're in the file. It's
- 7 always the question of what weight you place on the
- 8 interpretation of those facts and documents. But their
- 9 presence in the file is not in dispute, or that the data
- 10 reflected on them is the data reflected on them.
- 11 Q Okay. And you also, in fairness, you referred to what
- 12 you view to be compensating factors.
- 13 A I discuss that in the last paragraph. Yes, sir.
- 14 Q So let's now walk through the loan file, the claim
- 15 file, Mr. Grice. And we'll start at the loan file excerpt
- 16 if we could, which has the loan application. So there's a
- 17 tab loan, right?
- 18 A And here, I'm not using the substituted application; is
- 19 that correct?
- 20 Q This is the replace -- you should have a signed loan
- 21 application in there, and it should bear Page numbers 126
- 22 through 128 of 673. And if it doesn't, I apologize.
- 23 A That's right. So I will apply the new version of the
- 24 | file up to the loan application to -- I thought that was
- 25 supposed to go to the claim package excerpt, but I will now

Page 36 1 place it in my loan file excerpt for the subject loan. 2 Thank you. So we have there some information that we 3 need to look at to walk through this. There's a loan 4 amount, you see that, of 142,400. 5 Yes, sir. There's the subject property; that is to say, the 6 7 property that's the subject of the mortgage loan, right? 8 Correct. And the address for that, the borrower's name --9 10 Correct. -- further down the page, and the borrower's, what is 11 12 identified as the borrower's present address, correct? 13 Α Correct. And then on the next page, there is -- that's where it 14 15 calls for the borrower to disclose liabilities. 16 Yes, sir. 17 And if the Trustees are right about that MERS report 18 and the audit credit report reflect mortgage debt of the borrower that predates the closing, then that mortgage --19 20 that preclose mortgage debt is not identified where it 21 should be on the loan application, correct? 22 That's correct. Yes, sir. 23 And if I may direct your attention to the next page of the loan application, Mr. Grice, you see there at the top 24 25 that the borrower's present address is identified as a

Page 37

- 1 rental property, correct?
- 2 A Correct, with a rental income associated with it.
- 3 Q Do you know if -- okay, with a rental income associated
- 4 with it, correct. Then I'll just point out to you, if you
- 5 go into below that, details of transaction, you'll see in
- 6 Line J, there's a reference to subordinate financing.
- 7 A Yes, sir.
- 8 Q Does that indicate to you that a second mortgage or a
- 9 second lien applies to the same property and was part of
- 10 this transaction?
- 11 A That would be my understanding.
- 12 Q Okay. And that number is 35,600.
- 13 A Correct.
- 14 Q And the loan closed on June 4, 2004, correct?
- 15 A Yes, sir.
- 16 Q Well, I should say the application is signed.
- 17 A The application is signed.
- 18 Q Right, June 4, 2004. So then if we could go into the
- 19 claim package. Oh, I should have pointed out, Mr. Grice, my
- 20 apologies. But in the loan application we were looking at a
- 21 moment ago, there was no rental income identified for the
- 22 property that the -- that would be the subject of what the
- 23 Trustees allege is the undisclosed mortgage.
- 24 A That's correct.
- 25 Q And that property is not identified at all there in the

Page 38 rental income section of the loan application. 1 2 That's correct. 3 So then if we -- well, we're now at Page 14 in the claim package tab for Loan 8455, so that's the prior tab in 4 5 the binder, at least I hope it is. 6 I'm sorry, which page? 7 So we'll be at Page 14 of 27. 8 I'm there. 9 Thank you. So this is, you recognize this as a credit 10 report? 11 This is the, I believe, the audit credit report pulled 12 on April 13 of 2015. 13 So we see there the borrower's name? 14 Yes, sir. And the borrower's social security number? 15 16 Α Yes, sir. 17 Are social security numbers customarily used as the 18 basis to pull credit reports? 19 Yes. 20 Q And then we see the subject property address; do we 21 not? You might have to refer back. 22 THE COURT: Where are we looking for that, Mr. 23 Shuster? 24 MR. SHUSTER: It's on the credit report on the 25 left side.

Page 39 1 THE COURT: What's the page number? 2 MR. SHUSTER: 14 of 27, there's a box there, applicant. And under that, there is the borrower's name, 3 4 the borrower's social security number. 5 THE COURT: I see. Thank you. 6 And the subject property address, correct? 7 So the subject property is 5915. 8 Oh, yeah. 9 I'm not naming the street. 10 Okay, good. Yeah. 11 And is it on -- I'm just trying to find it on the audit 12 credit report. 13 You can --O 14 Oh, I see it. 15 You see it? 16 Α Yes, yes. 17 Okay. Q 18 There's some numbers on this page. 19 There are a lot of numbers on this page and a lot of 20 pages in this file. 21 I did locate it. 22 And then there is the current address. You see a 23 current address number. 24 Α Yes, sir. 25 And that's the property that is disclosed as a rental

Page 40 1 property on the loan application. Do you see that? 2 That was the departing address. Right. Then there's two other previous addresses. Do 3 Q you understand from the credit report that those previous 4 5 addresses were properties that the borrower owned? 6 Or rented or was associated with. 7 Okay. So, then let's turn, if we could, to Page 15, 8 which is the very next page. It should be the facing page 9 of the credit report. And if we go down to the fourth and 10 fifth rows, we see the subject debt, correct? 11 These are the highlighted in gray rows? 12 Those are highlighted in gray, yeah. There the two 13 adjacent rows highlighted in gray? 14 Yes, sir. Α 15 And we see the date is consonant with the date the loan 16 application was signed? 17 Yes, sir. Α 18 And then we see the loan amounts for both liens, the 142.4 and the 35.6 that we looked at earlier, correct? 19 20 Yes, sir. 21 THE COURT: I'm sorry. Where is that? 22 MR. SHUSTER: Your Honor, that's at --23 THE COURT: I'm looking at two things that say 24 Aurora Bank, right? 25 MR. SHUSTER: Right. So, there's Aurora bank and

```
Page 41
1
     then the --
                THE COURT: Okay.
 2
 3
                MR. SHUSTER: -- the creditor account column, and
     then their fourth --
 4
 5
                THE COURT: Okay.
 6
               MR. SHUSTER: -- column across, opened --
 7
                THE COURT: Okay.
 8
                MR. SHUSTER: -- that has the dates. And then
     limit or highest credit that has the amounts.
9
10
                THE COURT: Okay.
11
          So, that is -- you've identified that, sir, as the
12
     subject matter, correct?
13
          Yes, sir.
     Α
14
          And then, if we look down further, we see two
15
     additional rows for Aurora, only one of which is
16
     highlighted, but both of which are identified as
17
     undisclosed. Do you see that?
          I do.
18
     Α
19
          And --
20
                THE COURT: I'm sorry. I'm just not following
21
     you.
22
                MR. SHUSTER: I'm sorry, Your Honor.
23
                THE COURT: We're going to have to come up with a
24
     better --
25
                MR. SHUSTER: It's the --
```

	Page 42
1	THE COURT: Okay, okay, but there okay.
2	MR. SHUSTER: This is seventh and eighth rows
3	THE COURT: Okay.
4	MR. SHUSTER: and in the first column, creditor
5	account number, there is the word undisclosed
6	THE COURT: Okay.
7	MR. SHUSTER: in both of those lines.
8	THE COURT: One is Aurora and one is Bank of
9	America?
10	MR. SHUSTER: Yes.
11	
	THE COURT: Okay.
12	Q Do you understand, Mr. Grice, that those words,
13	undisclosed, were put there by someone involved in the
14	Trustees' loan and review process and were not there on the
15	credit report that was pulled originally?
16	A That's my understanding.
17	Q And so, if you would look at the opened column, you'll
18	see that the opened date that's given is May of '04,
19	correct?
20	A Correct.
21	Q And the subject that was June of '04.
22	A Correct.
23	Q So, if the Trustees are correct that these two lines in
24	the credit report reflect undisclosed debt of the borrower,
25	then that debt would have predated at least the signing of

Page 43 1 the loan application by a month? 2 Yes, sir. THE COURT: Can I ask a question, because I'm not 3 4 following this. You established by looking at the loan 5 application that June 4 was the date that the loan 6 application was signed. Now we're looking at these two 7 loans that were marked undisclosed, right? 8 MR. SHUSTER: Yes. 9 THE COURT: And the opened column, which is the 10 fourth column over, fifth column over, it says opened, O-P-11 N-D, right? 12 MR. SHUSTER: Yes. 13 THE COURT: And they say May 4, okay? 14 MR. SHUSTER: Correct. 15 THE COURT: What is there that tells when any of 16 these loans closed? 17 MR. SHUSTER: Well --18 THE COURT: That's question number 1. And 19 question number 2 is, Mr. Grice, do you have an 20 understanding of the other notations on the rows for each of 21 the two loans that are indicated to be undisclosed? The 22 Aurora Bank loan says transferred conventional real estate 23 mortgage, and the Bank of America loan says transferred. 24 So, I just would love to have a better understanding of what 25 I'm looking at here.

Page 44 1 MR. SHUSTER: Understood. 2 MR. GRICE: And I can't give you an accurate 3 answer, Your Honor, of -- each one of these credit bureaus uses very specific vocabulary and very specific code 4 5 language. So, I don't have an interpretation that I can 6 offer. 7 Do you know, sir, whether the expression transferred conventional real estate mortgage indicates that the 8 9 mortgage was originated or not originated by Aurora, or 10 might been transferred to Aurora from another entity? Do 11 you know? 12 I'm not sure what you're asking. I'm sorry. 13 Well, can you tell from the words transferred 14 conventional real estate mortgage whether Aurora was the 15 originator or whether another bank -- another entity might 16 have been the originator? 17 I can't tell specifically. 18 Q And then --THE COURT: Can you -- I mean, can you tell, on 19 20 this kind of a credit report, the -- first of all, what's ECOA, the column that's farthest to the left? What does 21 22 ECOA stand for? Do you know? MR. GRICE: That's the Equal Credit Opportunity 23 So, it's a -- this is a flag on the credit report for 24 25 loans that would be subject to the terms of the ECOA.

Page 45 1 THE COURT: Okay. And then creditor and account 2 number. And what's RPTD? Seems like it's a shortened 3 version of reported. What does that refer to? 4 MR. GRICE: In my -- again, each bureau is 5 different, but typically, that's the date that the bureau 6 last received a report from a creditor about that account. 7 THE COURT: Okay. And then what's the last 8 column, last ACT? 9 MR. GRICE: I don't know how this firm is using 10 that phrase. Sometimes there are glossaries attached, and I 11 don't believe we have one here. 12 THE COURT: Okay. And opened -- what does that 13 mean? 14 MR. GRICE: That's the month that the 15 relationship, the credit was born, according to the credit 16 bureau. 17 THE COURT: Okay. Thank you. Go ahead Mr. 18 Shuster. MR. SHUSTER: Thank you, Your Honor. 19 20 I'll note, Mr. Grice -- not to complicate matters --21 but that there is a further debt that seems to be a post-22 closing mortgage debt on 8/4. Do you see that? That's above the first undisclosed loan. 23 24 I'm sorry. So, you're trying to point out that in the 25 row above the first undisclosed row, there is an -- you're

	Page 46
1	saying it's an additional undisclosed debt?
2	Q Well, it's an additional debt. It's post-closing,
3	right?
4	A Correct. This would be August of '04.
5	THE COURT: Okay. But we I'm sorry. I don't -
6	- do I have in the record when this loan when the subject
7	loan
8	MR. SHUSTER: Subject loan.
9	THE COURT: closed?
10	MR. SHUSTER: Yes. Yes, so if Your Honor turns to
11	Page 24 at the same tab, that has a note date of June 3,
12	2004, and on Page 27, it shows the borrower's signature.
13	THE COURT: My question stands. When did the loan
14	close?
15	MR. SHUSTER: Well, I mean, I'm not going to
16	I'll see if I can find something else. We usually go by the
17	note date with the loan application, the final signed loan
18	application, because typically, those are executed at
19	closing.
20	MR. COSENZA: Your Honor
21	THE COURT: Hello, Mr. Consenza.
22	MR. COSENZA: (indiscernible) this is very
23	confusing. We have an unsigned loan application. We have a
24	signed loan application
25	THE COURT: Okay, hold on, hold on. Why don't you

Page 47 1 come up? 2 (Bench Conference) 3 Mr. Grice, is there a sort of an industry standard for Q understanding when a mortgage closed? Would that usually be 4 5 the note date? 6 I would not say there's a clear industry standard. I'd 7 say there are at least two, maybe three, you know, the note 8 date. If there are closing documents, and I don't see any 9 closing documents here, it may be that the application was 10 signed at the closing itself, which would not be uncommon. 11 Very good. So, we've identified, I think, the alleged 12 undisclosed debt, correct? 13 Obviously, there are data entries on both an audit 14 credit report from the future and from MERS that reflect 15 what may be undisclosed debt --16 Right. 17 -- a week to 10 days prior to June 3rd or June 4th. 18 Right. So, I'm glad you brought up -- I think I'm glad you brought up the MERS credit report. Let's have a look at 19 20 that, if we could, which is at Page 21 of 27. So, there we 21 have -- so, would you identify what MIN stands for? 22 That's the mortgage identification number. It's the 23 unique number for that mortgage. 24 So, we see -- and we see the borrower's name there at 25 the -- for the subject property. You see the subject

Page 48 1 property address 5195? It's the first one identified. 2 Oh, thank you. Yes, sir. 3 Primary borrower, and we see the borrower's name? 4 Yes, sir. Α 5 And I'll just note over to the right there is a note 6 date. 7 Α Correct. So, what does that signify to you? 8 9 That's the date that MERS understands that there was a 10 note signed. 11 Would the -- okay, so --12 That's what's being reported by the lender to MERS as 13 the date of the obligation, ostensibly, I mean, 14 theoretically. 15 Yes. And then we see another line for the subject 16 property, and so we have again there the two tiers of 17 financing for that property, right? The 142.4 and the 35.6 18 that we've seen previously on the loan application and on 19 the credit report? 20 Yes, sir. 21 And then we see the property that is identified on the 22 loan application as the departing property, correct? It's the third address down. You've got 5915, 5915, 4238. 23 24 This is the 4238 address, yes, sir. 25 And that's the one that was identified as the borrowers

	1 g 43 01 00
	Page 49
1	departing property, and that was identified as the source of
2	rental income on Page 3 of the loan application that we
3	looked at together?
4	A Correct.
5	Q And then we had the property
6	THE COURT: Whoa, whoa, whoa, whoa, whoa.
7	MR. SHUSTER: Sorry.
8	THE COURT: Let's finish, okay? We're at the
9	property that's 4238, right?
10	MR. SHUSTER: Yes.
11	THE COURT: And that's been flagged in the credit
12	report as undisclosed, yes? No?
13	MR. SHUSTER: No, it's the next one that's
14	undisclosed, the 42
15	THE COURT: Okay, what's the 4238?
16	Q The 4238, Mr. Grice, is identified in the loan
17	application as the borrower's current address, which is to
18	say the address he's departing, correct?
19	A Correct. I just observed that the note date for the
20	departing address is in the future. It's two months after
21	the closing of the subject property.
22	Q Right. And there's a note amount there?
23	A Of the 128?
24	Q Right.
25	THE COURT: Right.

Page 50 1 So, do you think it's possible that that means that the 2 borrower refinanced that property? 3 MR. COSENZA: Your Honor, I can object to every one of these questions. I don't want to bog things down, 4 5 but this calls for speculation, lack of foundation. We 6 don't know what the borrower, where he was --7 THE COURT: Okay, well --8 MR. COSENZA: I could do this all -- I don't want 9 to interrupt all day. 10 THE COURT: You need to ask a different question. 11 We're not going to ask whether he thinks -- I mean, it's 12 just --13 MR. SHUSTER: Okay. 14 THE COURT: Okay? 15 MR. SHUSTER: Yes. An amount is -- that's fair. 16 THE COURT: I'm glad you think so, Mr. Shuster. 17 MR. SHUSTER: No, I don't -- I'm sorry, Your 18 Honor, I'm just -- I was a step back responding to Mr. 19 Consenza. Anything you say is fair. 20 THE COURT: I'm sure it is. 21 So, let's -- you've noted that. Mr. Grice, let's keep Q 22 going. Then we have identified what the Trustees allege is 23 the undisclosed debt, right? 24 Α Yes, sir. 25 That's the 1530?

Page 51 1 Thank you. Yes, sir. 2 And there is another entry for 1530 on the next page? 3 Α Yes, sir. 4 And both of those show a note date of May 21, 2004? 5 They do. 6 And in the credit report, these mortgages were 7 identified as having been opened in May of 2004? 8 That appears to be the same. 9 Okay. So, that's the basis for the Trustees' assertion 10 that there is an undisclosed debt, correct, those reports, 11 those entries in those reports? 12 That is the evidence they point to. Yes, sir. 13 So, before we get to your calculation of rental income 14 for the undisclosed property, do you accept, yes or no, that 15 the credit report and the MERS report, read together, make 16 it more likely than not that the borrower had undisclosed 17 pre-closing mortgage debt here? MR. COSENZA: Your Honor, just on that question, I 18 object to -- we've had all sorts of questions on the 19 20 reliability of these sources. 21 THE COURT: Well, I'm going to overrule your 22 objection, Mr. Cosenza. I mean, this goes to the heart of 23 the type of valuation that Mr. Grice was doing. 24 MR. COSENZA: Thank you, Your Honor. 25 THE COURT: Okay. Could you repeat the question

08-13555-mg Doc 57502 Filed 12/28/17 Entered 01/18/18 14:36:08 Main Document Pg 52 of 86 Page 52 1 please, Mr. Shuster? 2 MR. SHUSTER: Yes. 3 So, before we get to your calculation of rental income Q 4 for this property --5 Yes, sir. 6 -- do you accept, yes or no, that the credit report and 7 the MERS report, read together, make it more likely than not 8 that the borrower had undisclosed pre-closing mortgage debt? 9 I can't go quite that far, and the reason is there are 10 some question raised by the MERS data. I mean, for example, 11 if you'd notice between the 5195 address and the 4238 12 address and the 1530 address, the borrower name is 13 inconsistent. It's Joseph in two instances and in the 14 undisclosed property it's -- I'm sorry, it's Joseph in the 15 two disclosed, and in the one undisclosed, or allegedly 16 undisclosed, it's Joe. I don't know how to account for 17 that. 18 There's also an empirical question that could be resolved. Courthouses maintain copies of mortgage document 19 20 registration and would be the ultimate source, I think, for 21 whether the undisclosed debt in fact exists. I don't have 22 that information for what the courthouse would have in Las 23 Vegas, but that would be a part of the analysis I'd want to

perform.

24

25

	Page 53
1	Joseph? You acknowledge that debt amounts that are
2	reflected for the 1530 property are in the same amounts as
3	the debt amounts that are reflected on the audit credit
4	report, yes?
5	A Yes, sir.
6	Q And you also acknowledge that the audit credit report
7	was run based on the social security number of the person
8	named Joseph, correct
9	A Yes, sir.
10	Q Okay. So, now, you then go on in your narrative to say
11	that the audit credit report does not by the way, do you
12	see any evidence that shows have you seen any evidence in
13	the file that shows that these are not debts of Joseph?
14	Anything to show that they're debts of another person?
15	MR. COSENZA: Your Honor?
16	THE COURT: I'm sorry. Yes.
17	MR. COSENZA: Just a side bar for one second on
18	this?
19	THE COURT: Okay.
20	MR. COSENZA: I don't want to because this
21	could affect the witness, I think it's
22	THE COURT: All right.
23	(Bench Conference)
24	Q Mr. Grice, I asked you a question, which is do you see
25	any evidence that indicates that the alleged undisclosed

any such evidence. Do you?

Page 54

- debt was undisclosed debt of another borrower? Based at

  least -- I don't see in your narrative where you point to
- A I don't point in my narrative to whether another -
  whether it's disclosed or undisclosed debt of another

  borrower. Just a -- I mean, it's a decidedly different

  question I'm answering, but I don't point to any evidence

that there may be someone else who's obligated.

Again, just to be clear, the mortgage record for that alleged undisclosed property is sitting in a courthouse. I believe Las Vegas is not a courthouse. It is online and requires somebody to physically go to the Las Vegas, and then pull the document.

What the mortgage which show is who has an

ownership interest. It would not show us who is on the note. And so, in a perfect world, we would have access to the note for the undisclosed property. Those aren't public. So, I can't come to a complete answer to that question.

Q All right. But my question was actually different. My question was in your narrative, you don't point to any evidence that shows that the alleged undisclosed debt of this borrower was in fact debt of another person, correct?

- A And I answer that, sir.
- 24 Q And the answer is I'm correct?
- 25 A Correct.

3

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

Page 55 1 Good. So, what you then do is you calculate fair 2 market rent on the undisclosed property, right? 3 Α Yes, sir. You don't know that the borrower rented out the 4 5 undisclosed property, correct? 6 There are many things we don't know. That's one of the things --7 8 Right. 9 -- we don't know. That's one of the things --10 I'm just --11 -- we don't know. Α 12 -- focusing on what you don't know --13 Α Yes, sir. 14 -- with respect to the rent that you calculated though. 15 Α Yes. 16 Q Okay? 17 It's an estimation. 18 You don't know that the borrower rented out that property, correct? 19 20 Correct. 21 The borrower identified on the loan application a 22 property that he was renting out, right? 23 That's correct. 24 Did not identify the property that is the subject of 25 the undisclosed debt on the loan application as a property

Page 56 1 that he was renting out, correct? 2 That's correct. 3 If he wanted to identify that property as a source of 4 rental income, he was certainly free to do so, correct? 5 Nothing bars him from that. 6 And he does not do so? 7 It's not on the application, correct. Okay. So, you then -- when you calculate undisclosed 8 9 debt, you go to some public source for that information? 10 The Department of Housing and Urban Development. 11 Okay. So, that just gives general statistics of rents 0 12 for that area? 13 By characteristics of the property. 14 By characteristics of the property. So, but that 15 doesn't identify that that borrower actually rented that 16 property at that price? 17 Exactly. Α 18 Okay. Now, then you go ahead and you point to compensating factors, what you identify as compensating 19 20 factors, right? 21 Α Yes, sir. 22 But if the loan underwriter didn't know about 23 undisclosed debt in the approximate amount of \$177,000, he 24 could not have weighed that debt when assessing compensating 25 factors, correct?

Page 57 1 Or she. Correct. 2 Okay. Incidentally, the plan administrator says that the evidence -- in its response on this loan, says the 3 evidence is unreliable and insufficient because it relies on 4 5 an inadmissible, uncorroborated report, and it fails to show 6 undisclosed debt at origination. Do you see that? 7 8 Yes, sir. 9 When you said that you agree with the plan 10 administrator, I take it you are not opining that the 11 evidence is inadmissible in court? 12 I'm not an attorney, so I can't speak to the 13 admissibility of evidence. 14 Let's go to the next loan, Mr. Grice, which is 7599. 15 MR. SHUSTER: And for that, we will need TRDX-43. 16 THE COURT: Ah. 17 MR. SHUSTER: Upgrade here. Thank you. 18 THE COURT: Thank you. Mr. Grice? 19 20 Yes, sir. So, this is an occupancy -- alleged occupancy breach 21 22 put forward by the Trustees? 23 Yes, sir. 24 And you agreed with the plan administrator's rejection 25 of this breach?

Page 58 1 Correct. 2 So, let's look at the evidence, Mr. Grice. In the 3 claim package, at Page 17, you'll find the loan application. At least I hope you will. 4 5 Yes, sir. 6 So, that -- the loan application shows the subject 7 property as in Arizona and the borrower's present address is 8 in Washington D.C., correct? 9 Yes, sir. 10 And the borrower checks the box that the Arizona 11 property, which is to say the subject property, will be his 12 primary residence, correct? 13 Yes, sir. Α 14 And he identifies his employer as a company in 15 Washington, D.C.? 16 Α Yes. 17 And he signs the loan application on Janaury 27, 2005. 18 That's correct. And then if we go to Page 23 of the claim package, 19 20 that's an Accurint report? 21 Α Yes, sir. 22 And that is run on the borrower's Social Security 23 number, correct? 24 Α Yes, sir. 25 And there's -- both the subject property address

Page 59 1 (indiscernible) the borrower's existing address are 2 reflected on that report, correct? 3 Correct. I believe the Trustees have put a circle Α 4 around the subject property and a box around the departing 5 property. 6 Thank you, sir. And then there is another Correct. 7 Accurint report that appears at Page 25, correct? 8 Yes, sir. 9 And that Accurint report has -- was also run on the 10 borrower's Social Security number, right? 11 Correct. Α 12 And there the Trustee's loan reviewers placed a rectangular box around the information relating to the 13 14 borrower's employer as it was identified on the January '05 15 loan application, right? 16 Yes, sir. 17 And this associates the borrower with as general 18 manager of that entity from the period September of '04 to July of 2012, correct? 19 20 That's what is stated on the page. And then if we turn to Page 29 -- just if the 21 borrower's still working in D.C., then it's hard to believe 22 23 that he was -- his principal residence was in Arizona, 24 correct? 25 MR. COSENZA: Objection. Calls for speculation.

Page 60 1 MR. SHUSTER: I think it calls for the witness to 2 draw the same types of inferences he's been drawing from other evidence. 3 4 THE COURT: Ask the question a different way, Mr. 5 Shuster, please. 6 Can you draw an inference, Mr. Grice, of the sort that 7 you say you can draw that the -- if the borrower was --8 continued to be employed in Washington D.C., then a property 9 in Arizona was unlikely to be --10 THE COURT: Let me -- can I --11 MR. SHUSTER: -- his primary residence? 12 THE COURT: Can you tell me direct, Mr. Grice, to 13 the specific piece of information from which you're asking 14 him to draw the inference that the borrower was employed in 15 Washington D.C. 16 MR. SHUSTER: I'm looking at the Accurint report 17 that's at Page 25, Your Honor. 18 THE COURT: Okay. Page 25? MR. SHUSTER: Yes. The rectangular box in the 19 20 middle of the page. 21 THE COURT: Okay. So, you're asking the witness 22 based on that can he draw a conclusion or does he have an 23 inference as to where the borrower was employed, right? 24 MR. SHUSTER: Not as to where he was employed. We 25 covered that, although I'm happy to have you answer that

Page 61 1 question, too. 2 THE COURT: Well, I'm sorry, what was your 3 question? MR. SHUSTER: Was question was if the borrower is 4 5 in fact -- continued to be employed in Washington D.C. --6 THE COURT: Okay. But that's what I'm trying to 7 get to. 8 MR. SHUSTER: Yes. 9 THE COURT: You are saying he was employed in 10 Washington D.C. 11 MR. SHUSTER: Yes. 12 THE COURT: My paycheck comes from Washington D.C. 13 MR. SHUSTER: Yes. 14 THE COURT: I'm obviously not there right now. 15 MR. SHUSTER: Right. But --16 THE COURT: So, that's what I'm asking for 17 clarification about. 18 MR. SHUSTER: Right. Okay. Well, can you conclude -- can you infer from the 19 20 Accurint report that identifies the borrower as the general manager of JCC Georgetown from September '04 to -- from 21 22 September 2004 to July 2012, that he was employed by that 23 entity in that time period? 24 I could draw an inference of very low quality. These reports are very unreliable. I hope I made that clear in 25

Page 62 1 the direct. Accurint reports are very unreliable. 2 there is some -- somebody is reporting a relationship between that employer and this individual . As to where he 3 -- and I -- separately, I don't see a claim for 4 5 misrepresentation of employment. If that's the issue, I'd 6 like to see the evidence that gets to where he was actually 7 employed. Nevertheless, I can't conclude that there's a lot 8 of inferential value in the Accurint report. 9 Well, let me just -- going to the other point that was 10 raised, that entity, JCC Georgetown, does that strike you as 11 a federal government entity, Mr. Grice? 12 I have no idea. I mean, I'm not sure why you're asking 13 about that. I -- it could be a federal agency. I don't --14 I'm not aware of a federal agency called that. I just don't 15 know what that -- I don't know what JJC is, if it's a retail 16 establishment, the headquarters of a consulting firm. I 17 just don't think you can draw strong inferences based on a 18 reporting -- a report that comes up through unknown source -19 - undisclosed sources. 20 Okay. Well, let's look in the loan file excerpt, Mr. 21 Grice, for loan 7599 at Page 481 and 482. 22 Okay. I see tax-related documents. 23 Right. So, Page 482, Mr. Grice, is a W-2. You see 24 that? 25 Yes, sir. Α

Page 63 1 0 For the borrower? 2 Yes, sir. Α 3 From 2010? Q 4 Yes, sir. 5 That was -- that identifies his employer as the entity 6 that he identified as his employer on the 2005 tax return, 7 correct? 8 Correct. 9 And it shows \$40,587 in income, right? 10 That's what it shows. 11 And there's another document on the left that shows an 0 12 address in Virginia, correct, for this borrower? 13 Correct. Α 14 And then let's flip forward one page to Page 483. 15 That's a tax return, right? 16 Α Yes, sir. 17 That tax return shows the borrower's address in 2010? 18 In Virginia, yes, sir. And that address matches the 2010 W-2 that we saw on 19 20 just the prior pages, correct? 21 Α It does. 22 Yes or no, you're unprepared to infer from that that 23 the borrower continued to reside and work in the D.C. area 24 in 2010? Yes or no? 2005. 25 I thought I said --

	Py 04 01 00
	Page 64
1	THE COURT: Hold on. Hold on.
2	MR. SHUSTER: 2005.
3	THE COURT: Well, that
4	MR. SHUSTER: Well, either, all right?
5	THE COURT: No. But the
6	MR. SHUSTER: Are you prepared we'll do it
7	THE COURT: Break it down.
8	MR. SHUSTER: one step at a time.
9	Q Are you prepared to infer from those documents that the
10	borrower resided in the D.C. area in 2010? Yes or no?
11	A For at least some piece of a year, yes, sir. He
12	generated income in 2010 in Virginia or from a Virginia
13	address.
14	Q Now, let's look to a hardship letter at Page 342. And
15	this hardship letter is dated 2012, correct?
16	A Correct.
17	Q Signed by the borrower?
18	A Yes, sir.
19	Q And he refers there to his 2010 tax return and he says
20	that the business that's referenced in that return was
21	closed in September 2010, correct?
22	A Correct.
23	Q And he says the reason for closing the business was
24	that, "We were planning to move to Arizona." You see that?
25	A I do.

Page 65 1 And yes or no, based on that evidence and the other 2 evidence we've seen, you don't think it's fair to draw an 3 inference that it's more likely than not that the borrower 4 did not use the subject property in Arizona as his primary 5 residence at the time of the loan or during the year 6 thereafter? Yes or no? 7 Just give me the beginning of the question again, please? Can I draw an inference about where he actually 8 9 lived? 10 Based on that evidence and the other evidence we've 11 seen, you don't think it's fair to drawn an inference that 12 it's more likely than not that the borrower did not use the 13 subject property in Arizona as his primary residence prior 14 to the date of his hardship letter? 15 THE COURT: Mr. Cosenza? 16 MR. COSENZA: I have a standing objection. I 17 don't want to constantly interrupt Mr. Shuster. It's -- the 18 questions continue to say based on evidence. I just want to 19 make sure --20 THE COURT: Based on --21 MR. COSENZA: -- I want to make --22 THE COURT: -- based on the document that he's 23 pointing to right now. 24 MR. COSENZA: Yeah. I would just object that it's 25 not evidence in the technical evidentiary sense of -- I

Page 66 1 think there's, you know, problems with the admissibility and 2 reliability of all of this and I just want to make sure that's preserved. I don't want to interrupt his question 3 4 every time. 5 THE COURT: Okay. You're -- I hear you. You can 6 answer the question, Mr. Grice. MR. GRICE: Would you repeat it again, please, 7 8 sir? 9 Yes. Based on the evidence we've seen, hardship letter 10 and the other evidence we've seen, Mr. Grice, you don't 11 think it's fair to draw an inference that it's more likely 12 than not that the borrower did not use the subject property 13 in his -- in Arizona as his primary residence prior to the 14 date, say, of this hardship letter? Yes or no? 15 The answer has to be no. I can't draw --16 You can't draw that inference? 17 I can't draw any conclusions based on this evidence. 18 And your conclusion -- your conclusions on this loan -oh, sorry. I skipped some evidence there. Mr. Grice, let 19 20 me also direct your attention to -- I'm sorry. Just give me 21 a moment. Page 341 at the same tab. 22 3-4-1? 23 Yes. It's the page opposite the hardship letter. Are 24 you --25 Α Okay.

Page 67 1 -- are you prepared to draw an inference from this 2 letter, yes or no, that the borrower was using the property 3 in Arizona as a rental property? In 2012, yes, sir. 4 5 Yes. 6 I will infer he was renting it as he says in the 7 letter in 2012. Let's look at Page 498, Mr. Grice. 8 9 I'm sorry. Page 498. Let me just take a minute and 10 read this. It's a multi-page document, I presume. 11 I'm just looking at the information on 498. 12 But that's part of a much larger document, I believe, 13 part of a 10-page -- no, it's part of a much larger -- an 14 18-page document. 15 You with me, Mr. Grice? 16 I'm almost finished. Another moment. We're talking 17 about this exemption detail that appears on 498? Is that 18 what you're --19 I'm -- yeah, I'm referring to the information that 20 suggests that a foster child was placed with the borrower by a Maryland agency in 2010. Do you see that? 21 22 THE COURT: I think you misspoke. Was placed in 23 2008. 24 MR. SHUSTER: Sorry. Thank you, Your Honor. My 25 apologies.

Page 68 1 I see that. So -- and you're --MR. GRICE: 2 Yes or no, this piece of evidence combined with the other evidence we've seen doesn't enable you to draw an 3 inference that the borrower lived in Washington D.C. all the 4 5 way through to 2012 or some time in that time period and 6 never occupied the subject property in Arizona as his primary residence in that time period? Yes or no? 7 8 MR. COSENZA: I object. It's compound, vague. 9 There are three different questions in that question. 10 THE COURT: The question is -- that Mr. Shuster is 11 asking Mr. Grice is whether, based on the evidence that he 12 has shown you today, whether you can draw an inference that 13 at no time from the origination of the loan through the 14 dates of these documents did the borrower occupy the subject 15 property in Arizona as his primary residence. 16 MR. GRICE: Thank you, Your Honor. No, I can't 17 draw that -- you're asking me for a conclusion. I can't draw that conclusion. 18 Okay. Now, you -- Mr. Grice, let's go back to --19 20 THE COURT: Mr. Shuster, now, I don't know if 21 you're moving on. We're getting to the point where we have 22 to have a break. 23 MR. SHUSTER: Yes. 24 THE COURT: All right? 25 MR. SHUSTER: I just will -- one or two more on

Page 69 1 this loan? 2 THE COURT: Perfect. Thank you. 3 MR. SHUSTER: Thank you, Your Honor. 4 Mr. Grice, let me direct your attention back to your 5 breach narrative. 6 Okay. Yes, sir? 7 You say in line 2 of your narrative, the Accurint report also indicated that the borrower remained at his 8 9 employer, JJC Georgetown, until July 2012. You see that? 10 Yes, sir. 11 Your words that you wrote or somebody working under 12 your direction and supervision, correct? 13 Yes, sir. Α 14 Okay. You -- and that's the Accurint report, you 15 remember, that we looked at a few moments ago with the 16 rectangular box around the information that associated the 17 borrower with JCC Georgetown from September '04 until a date 18 in 2012. Do you remember that? 19 Yes, sir. I agree that there was an association until 20 2012. 21 Good. And then you go on to say in your narrative, "It 22 does not indicate if this was continuous employment or if the employer also had an Arizona location." You see that? 23 I do. 24 Α 25 So, you're questioning whether JCC -- you understand

Page 70 1 that Georgetown is in Washington D.C.? 2 I've lived there. Yes, sir. 3 Okay. So, you're suggesting that maybe JCC Georgetown 4 5 THE COURT: Mr. Shuster, I'm going to correct you. 6 It's not JCC. They're -- we're not talking about the Jewish 7 Community Center, okay? It is --8 MR. SHUSTER: I'm sure they have one, though. 9 THE COURT: The undoubtedly do, but Mr. Grice has 10 gotten it right. It's JJC --11 MR. SHUSTER: JJC Georgetown. Thank you, Your 12 Honor. 13 You're questioning whether it's possible, as part of 14 your breach narrative that forms the basis for your opinion 15 that the Trustee's breach review is unreliable. You're 16 suggesting that we don't know -- that it's somehow relevant 17 and possible that JJC Georgetown has an Arizona location, 18 right? 19 I say that as one --20 Q Okay. 21 -- possible consideration. Yes, sir. 22 Yes, you do. Do you have any basis whatsoever to 23 believe that JJC Georgetown does have an Arizona location? 24 Sitting here, no. 25 Did you ever look that up?

	Py /1 01 00
	Page 71
1	THE COURT: Hold on. Mr. Cosenza.
2	MR. COSENZA: We've gotten to the point of
3	argumentative and
4	THE COURT: I'm going to allow it. Mr. Shuster's
5	just asking follow-up questions. Go ahead.
6	Q Did you since you posited the question, did your
7	team make any attempt to ascertain whether JJC Georgetown
8	has a location in Maricopa, Arizona?
9	A No, sir, nor do I see an affidavit that they don't have
10	one.
11	Q Thank you. No, but that's not the question.
12	THE COURT: Okay.
13	Q What else you didn't see is not the question. My
14	question was given the fact that you raised the point
15	whether your team troubled itself to follow up on that
16	point. Is the answer no?
17	A I don't have evidence that demonstrates a JJC business
18	location in Maricopa.
19	MR. SHUSTER: All right. Good time for a break if
20	
21	THE COURT: Okay. Great. All right. So, 15
22	minutes? Is that all right?
23	MR. COSENZA: That would be great, Your Honor.
24	THE COURT: Okay. So, let's come back at 20
25	minutes before the hour.

	Py 72 01 80
	Page 72
1	MR. SHUSTER: Thank you.
2	THE COURT: Thank you.
3	(Recess)
4	THE COURT: Mr. Shuster?
5	MR. SHUSTER: I have no further questions for Mr.
6	Grice.
7	THE COURT: Okay. Thank you.
8	MR. COSENZA: Your Honor, could we have a few
9	minutes to get our documents together then?
10	THE COURT: Yes, of course. Can I not leave? I'm
11	going to not leave.
12	MR. COSENZA: Okay.
13	THE COURT: How well, quantify a few. If you
14	really mean ten then
15	MR. DAVIS: Yeah. I think it would be better if
16	we took the lunch break and would that be all right if
17	and then came back?
18	THE COURT: That's as they say a horse of a
19	different color. Okay. We can take the lunch break now. I
20	have a conference call I have to be on at 2:00. If we're
21	not done by 2:00, I can be on the call for about 15 minutes
22	and then we can come back in and resume. So, I don't know
23	how much you have.
24	MR. COSENZA: It will be short, yes.
25	MR. DAVIS: We'll be done before 2.

Page 73 1 THE COURT: You'll be done by then. Okay. 2 how much tmie would you like then? MR. DAVIS: 20 minutes? 3 MR. COSENZA: Half an hour? 4 5 THE COURT: Okay. Half an hour or at the latest 6 we'll resume at 12:30? 7 MR. COSENZA: Yes. That would be great, Your 8 Honor. Thank you. 9 THE COURT: All right? Okay. Very good. 10 MR. SCHUSTER: Thank you, Your Honor. 11 (Recess) 12 THE COURT: Yeah, so on the scheduling issue, it's 13 been brought to my attention that you folks would like to be here on the 18th? Maybe like is too strong a word. Need to 14 15 be here on the 18th. It's fine with us. We had a 16 confirmation hearing scheduled for that morning but we'll 17 move it. 18 MR. DAVIS: Thank you, Your Honor. THE COURT: All right? So, as we go through next 19 20 week maybe we'll be able to size the amount of time that you think you might need on the 18th so we can be more efficient 21 22 about it. Okay. All right, Mr. Davis. I'm ready when you 23 are. 24 REDIRECT EXAMINATION OF CHARLES GRICE 25 BY MR. DAVIS:

Page 74 1 Mr. Grice, can I -- may I ask you to take out the 2 binder that is the Grice expert reports binder and that has 3 your expert reports in it? 4 Yes, sir. Α 5 (Indiscernible) Trustees. Would you tell me please what the first document in this binder is? 7 Α The first tab is my affirmative report from June 1 of this year. 8 9 Okay. And may I ask you to turn to Tab C? 10 This is the documents relied upon for that same 11 affirmative report. 12 And is this a list of the documents you relied upon in 13 forming your opinions in this case? 14 Yes, sir. Α 15 Do you see there is a category that begins on the first 16 page of that document that is labeled underwriting 17 guidelines and product profiles? 18 Yes, sir. It continues on for about another page and a half. 19 20 you see that? 21 Α I do. 22 And are these documents on which you relied in forming 23 your opinions? 24 They are. Yes, sir. 25 And do these include the underwriting guidelines for

Page 75 1 the loans at issue in the case? 2 Correct. I'm going to ask you to turn to or pull out TRDX-30, 3 4 which is a spreadsheet concerning one of the loans Mr. 5 Shuster asked you about. 6 The 8455? 7 8455, correct. Do you have that? 8 I do. Yes, sir. 9 Remember, this was a loan involving a Las Vegas 10 property? 11 Yes, sir. 12 And do you remember Mr. Shuster asked you a series of 13 questions in the beginning of your examination that related 14 to pairing two pieces of information, a MERS report and an 15 audit credit report? Do you recall that? 16 I do. 17 If you direct your attention to claimant's factual 18 basis, did the Trustee cite the audit credit report in their factual basis for this claim? 19 20 No, sir. 21 Could you turn to TRDX-43, which is the spreadsheet for 22 the loan ending in 7599? 23 THE COURT: I'm sorry. Where can I find that, Mr. 24 Davis? 25 It's in a pull-out, I think, MR. DAVIS:

	Page 76
1	spreadsheet
2	THE COURT: Right, but attached to which loan is
3	it?
4	MR. DAVIS: 7599. I think it was delivered
5	separately by Mr. Shuster.
6	MR. GRICE: Joe, I have an extra one if you want
7	to give it.
8	THE COURT: I have it. Thank you.
9	MR. DAVIS: You have it? Okay.
10	Q Mr. Grice, do you recall that in connection with this
11	loan Mr. Shuster showed you some tax documents?
12	A Yes, sir.
13	Q Do you recall that he showed you a hardship letter?
14	A I do.
15	Q That he showed you some documents related to rental
16	lease matters?
17	A Yes, sir.
18	Q And some other tax-related documents?
19	A I do.
20	Q Would you direct your attention to claimant's factual
21	basis and let me know whether any of those documents were
22	cited by the Trustee when they brought this claim?
23	A Yeah, the only references in the factual basis are to
24	the Accurint search, the hardship letter, and the audit
25	credit report.

Page 77 1 Thank you. Now, I have another document to show you in 2 connection with this loan. 3 MR. DAVIS: Your Honor, may we approach and 4 distribute it? 5 THE COURT: Yes. Thank you. 6 MR. GRICE: Thank you. 7 0 Now, Mr. Grice, you recall this is a misrepresentation of occupancy claim? 8 9 Yes, sir. This is the JJC --10 I guess I would prefer not to say the name of --11 Oh, I'm sorry. Α 12 That's all right. 13 Forgive me. My mistake. 14 That's all right. 15 Yes, sir. 16 But, it's about a borrower who was purchasing a 17 property in Arizona. Do you recall that? Yes, sir. 18 And I put in front of you a document from the loan file 19 for this particular borrower. Did the Trustee show you this 20 21 document? 22 They did not. Is this document in the Trustee's claim file? 23 24 No, sir. 25 Okay, Mr. Grice. I want to take you back to a document

	Page 78
1	a loan that was discussed yesterday and I'm going to ask
2	you to take out our binders for this loan, so it's the one
3	that you had in the direct.
4	THE COURT: He probably Mr. Grice probably
5	doesn't begin to know where to find those.
6	MR. DAVIS: That's a good question.
7	MR. GRICE: Those went away.
8	MR. DAVIS: We'll hand you some new ones.
9	MR. GRICE: Thank you.
10	THE COURT: Which of the binders, Mr. Davis; do
11	you know?
12	MR. DAVIS: Well, we're going to start in Tab 4.
13	THE COURT: Is that Binder 1?
14	MR. DAVIS: That would be Binder 1, yes.
15	THE COURT: Thank you.
16	MR. DAVIS: Unfortunately, I have a mega set of
17	the combined.
18	THE COURT: Yeah. So, they look like this. You
19	have it?
20	MR. GRICE: I do.
21	THE COURT: Okay.
22	MR. GRICE: It was just provided by counsel.
23	THE COURT: Okay.
24	Q Mr. Grice, do you recall yesterday speaking with Mr.
25	Shuster about the loan ending in 3811?

Page 79 1 I do. 2 Okay. May I ask you to turn to Page 17 of 73 --3 Yes, sir. Α -- in Tab 4, which is PA Exhibit 626? Do you have that 4 5 in front of you? 6 I do. Yes, sir. 7 Did Mr. Shuster discuss this with you yesterday? 8 Yes. We went through this. 9 Okay. Would you take a look at the bottom two-thirds 10 of the document? What is it that's noted there about the 11 borrower's credit score? So, this is in the underwriter comments section of the 12 13 underwriter -- I'm sorry, the uniform underwriting and 14 transmittal summary. And it provides, as is common, the 15 three credit scores for this borrower. The low score is 16 682, which is about -- I think the high end of the range of 17 the national average, then 700 and 718. 18 Okay. Did Mr. Shuster discuss these credit scores with you yesterday? 19 20 No. We didn't have a chance to discuss it. Okay. What does the borrower's credit score tell you 21 22 here? 23 It's very strong. 24 Okay. Can I ask you to turn back a few pages? We're 25 going to look at that bankruptcy filing that you saw

Page 80 1 yesterday, statement of financial affairs --2 Yes, sir. Α 3 -- which begins on Page 40 of 73. Do you see that? I do. 4 Α 5 Do you recall discussing this document with Mr. 6 Shuster? 7 Yes, sir. Α Do you recall discussing on Page 41 of 43 the child 8 9 support information that's indicated there? 10 Yes, sir. 11 Does this document tell us when in the period of 2000 to 2007 that child support came in the door? 12 13 It just provides a range. No. Α 14 Did the Trustees reference the child support payments in their claims submission? 15 16 Α They did not. 17 Please turn to Page 45 of 73, if you would? 18 Yes, sir. Α 19 You see at the -- in the top half of the page what is 20 referenced there? 21 Α I do. 22 0 And what is it? 23 The -- in this case the applicant for the bankruptcy, 24 the petitioner, or our borrower, is describing that she 25 operated a child care business between 2005 and 2006.

Page 81 1 Did the Trustees reference this business as a source of 2 income potentially in their claims submission? 3 Α No, sir. Did Mr. Aronoff reference this fact in his discussion 4 5 of this loan? 6 I believe no. 7 Mr. Grice, I'm going to ask you to take a look at 8 another document we have in redacted form. 9 Did you say redacted or --Redacted. We're going to hand you a redacted document. 10 11 Thank you. Α Mr. Grice, what is this -- this is, by the way, PA 12 13 Exhibit 3811-K. Mr. Grice, what is this document? 14 This is a 1099, you know, a federal tax reporting form 15 prepared by a payer. In this case the Michigan Department 16 of Human Services paid the borrower in this transaction 2000 17 -- and I think it's 35 dollars in miscellaneous income during 2008. 18 19 Is this a document the Trustees reference in their 20 claims submission? 21 Α No, sir. 22 Mr. Grice, I'd like you to turn to Tab 51 of our 23 binder. 24 Do you know which number that is? 25 It's in Binder 3.

Page 82 1 Okay. Thank you. 2 It's almost the last thing, I believe. 3 Α Yes, sir. 4 Do you recognize this document, Mr. Grice? 5 I do. 6 And what is it? 7 This is the borrower for the loan ending in 31 -- 3811. It's her request for modification and affidavit under the 8 9 Making Home Affordable Program, so it's a request for a 10 modification dated in 2010. 11 Now, Mr. Shuster didn't show you this document 12 yesterday, did he? 13 I don't believe so. No, sir. 14 And is this document referenced in the Trustee's 15 submission? That's at Tab 39, if you'd like to check. 16 No, sir. 17 Mr. Grice, what does this hardship document tell us about this borrower? 18 You can learn quite a bit. I can't use her name. 19 20 She's applying for a -- obviously a modification. This is a 21 questionnaire prepared by the Federal HAMP program, I 22 believe. And she fills out -- she's requested to fill out 23 or complete a number of boxes. There's a commentary at the bottom -- well, there are four questions -- four boxes at 24 25 the bottom of this page. Like in Page 1 of 4 she checks

Page 83 1 three of them, the first being that "My household income has 2 been reduced," the second "My monthly debt payments are 3 excessive." I'm only reading a few words. The third is, 4 "My expenses have increased." And then she provides 5 "other." This continues onto the next page where she 6 prepares a budget and then on Page 3 of 4 there's a -- quite 7 an extensive acknowledgement and agreement where she attests to the accuracy under penalty of perjury of all the 8 9 information and documents, that they're truthful, the events 10 identified are accurate, et cetera, and she understands that 11 this -- there are serious consequences for misrepresenting 12 information on this report. 13 Mr. Grice, remind us, what was the type of breach claim 14 on this loan? 15 It was a misrepresentation of income. 16 Mr. Grice, do you have an understanding of the current 17 status of this loan? 18 Α I do. And what is it? 19 20 It's performing. She's paying as agreed under the 21 terms of the modification. 22 MR. DAVIS: Thank you. No further questions. 23 THE COURT: Thank you. 24 MR. SHUSTER: Five minutes? 25 THE COURT: Sure.

	Page 84
1	MR. SHUSTER: Please?
2	THE COURT: I'm just going to stay put.
3	MR. SHUSTER: Okay.
4	THE COURT: Okay?
5	MR. SHUSTER: Thank you, Your Honor. I don't
6	think I have anything. Thank you.
7	THE COURT: Okay. Thank you. All right. So, Mr.
8	Grice, thank you very much.
9	MR. GRICE: Thank you.
10	THE COURT: You may step down. So, we have
11	nothing more for today?
12	MR. COSENZA: I believe so, Your Honor.
13	THE COURT: Okay.
14	MR. COSENZA: And Monday we'll start with
15	(indiscernible). We'll stand down in our affirmative case
16	and
17	THE COURT: Okay. So, you're so, just for the
18	record, so the plan administrator has as of now completed
19	its affirmative case?
20	MR. COSENZA: Pending the issue we've raised with
21	Mr. Castro due to his health issue. He'll be
22	THE COURT: Understood.
23	MR. COSENZA: coming in part of our rebuttal
24	case.
25	THE COURT: Okay. All right. So and on Monday

	Page 85
1	at 10:00 we will begin with Mr
2	MR. SHUSTER: Esses.
3	THE COURT: Mr. Esses. Okay. Very good.
4	MR. SHUSTER: Thank you, Your Honor.
5	THE COURT: Okay. Thank you.
6	MR. DAVIS: Thank you.
7	THE COURT: Have a good weekend.
8	MR. DAVIS: Thank you.
9	(Whereupon these proceedings were concluded at
10	12:52 PM)
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

Page 86 1 CERTIFICATION 2 3 I, Sonya Ledanski Hyde, certified that the foregoing 4 transcript is a true and accurate record of the proceedings. 5 Sonya Ledanski Digitally signed by Sonya Ledanski Hyde DN: cn=Sonya Ledanski Hyde, o, ou, 6 email=digital@veritext.com, c=US Hyde Date: 2017.12.08 15:04:36 -05'00' 7 8 Sonya Ledanski Hyde 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 Veritext Legal Solutions 21 330 Old Country Road 22 Suite 300 23 Mineola, NY 11501 24 25 December 8, 2017 Date: